

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,419	09/16/2003	Abraham Jacob Sacks	030801 2708		
7590 09/12/2005			EXAMINER		
George S. Levy 3980 Del Mar Meadows			HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE		
San Diego. CA 92130-2258			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/663,41	10/663,419 SA		SACKS ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Yvonne M.		3635		
The MAILING I Period for Reply	DATE of this communicati	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the se	TUTORY PERIOD FOR IGER, FROM THE MAILI available under the provisions of 37 the mailing date of this communication of the maximum statutor at or extended period for reply will, but ffice later than three months after the ent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. by period will apply and will by statute, cause the apply	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be timed to be spire SIX (6) MONTHS from the section to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status						
2a) This action is F 3) Since this appli	communication(s) filed or INAL. 2b) cation is in condition for a dance with the practice u	☑ This action is no allowance except	for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					2	
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification	s/are rejected.	rithdrawn from cor and/or election re caminer.	equirement.	Examiner.		
Replacement dra	ot request that any objection wing sheet(s) including the laration is objected to by	correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119		,			
a) All b) Son 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of application	nt is made of a claim for f me * c) None of: copies of the priority doc copies of the priority doc f the certified copies of the on from the International I detailed Office action for	uments have beer uments have beer ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National	Stage	
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite)-152)	

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "the strands 11,14,16 in the same plane" as described in the specification on pages 6, 10 and 12. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing.

The drawings are objected to because they do not appear to show the transverse, primary or secondary strands in the same plane. Because the strands are shown as being positioned one atop the other, see figures 2,5 and 6, it appears that the strands are disposed in adjacent parallel planes and not in the same plane.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it is not clear how the transverse, primary and secondary strands all lie within the same plane if they are shown in the drawings disposed one atop the other, indication being positioned in adjacent but parallel planes. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,7,12 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent #5,540,023 to JAENSON. JAENSON discloses the use of a welded wire lathe (39) including a plurality of spaced-apart, approximately parallel transverse strands (48) substantially located in a first plane; a plurality of spaced apart, approximately parallel primary longitudinal strands (50) also substantially located in said first plane, intersecting and in contact with said transverse strands (48), a plurality of secondary longitudinal strands (50) also substantially placed in said first plane and closely spaced and approximately parallel with, some of said primary longitudinal strands (50), thus forming pairs of longitudinal strands (50), column 7, lines 27-28, said

pairs (50) defining a plurality of longitudinal slots (the space between the pairs (S)) located at predetermined spaced intervals extending across said lathing material, said plurality of transverse (48) and longitudinal strands (50) welded together at their points of intersections (column 5, lines 32, 43-45 and 38-39 and column 6, lines 42 and 46-47), and forming a plurality of rectangular meshes, column 6, lines 8-13, approximately located in said first plane; and a plurality of spacing furrs (52) formed by bending said transverse strands into indentations perpendicular to, and on one side of, said first plane, column 6, line 18-21, at predetermined space intervals extending across said lathing material, and located along said transverse strands (48), said spacing furrs situated between said longitudinal strands (50), tip of said indentations defining a second plane away from said first plane. Regarding claim 7, the longitudinal strands (50) have a shaped cross-section profile - circular. In reference to claim 12, the transverse strands (48) are in the vertical direction and the secondary (50) and primary longitudinal strands (50) are in the horizontal direction, column 6, lines 10 and 11. Regarding claim 13, the strands (48) and (50) are galvanized steel, column 6, lines 15-17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2-6,8-10,11 and 14 –17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #5,540,023 to JAENSON. JAENSON discloses the basic claimed lathing material as detailed above, except for the use of fasteners, except for

explicitly disclosing that his material can be rolled, except for explicitly disclosing the cross-section of the strands, except for disclosing an angle of inclination of the sides of the spacing furrs, and except for disclosing a dimension that the spacing furrs extend from the first plane. In reference to claim 2, JAENSON does not detail the use of a fastener; however, the fasteners are not positively cited in the claim. Even so, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the slot formed between the pairs of longitudinal strands (60,62) of JAENSON are capable of receiving the shaft of a fastener while retaining the head of the fastener. Although fasteners are not disclosed by JAENSON, clearly the addition of a fastener would ensure a secure attachment of the lathing to a substructure without the worry of the lathing coming a loose from being secured only by an adhesive. Regarding claim 3, JAENSON also does not disclose that his lathing material can be rolled. He does however, disclose that his material is flexible. Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the meshlike material of JAENSON, being flexible, is capable of being rolled. Mesh screen made from galvanized steel are very well know for their ability to be rolled and unrolled. Having the ability to be wound into rolls allows the material to be compact and provides for an ease of shipping and storage. In reference to claims 4-6 and 9, JAENSON does not disclose a specific cross-sectional dimension for his strands. He does however, detail that his strands can be 16-gauge steel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the selection of the cross-section dimension of the strands would be and obvious matter of design choice

suitable for the use intended. For instance, if a more rigid lathing is desired a larger sized cross-sectional dimension would be needed; whereas, if a less rigid lathing were required, a smaller cross-sectional dimension would be needed. In further regards to claims 4 and 5, JAENSON discloses a grid spacing of 2 inches, column 6, line 13. He does not; however disclose a grid spacing from 1.4-1.6 inches. Again, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the grid spacing as an obvious matter of design choice suitable for the use intended. A grid having smaller spacing might be more rigid or firm; whereas, a grid having larger spacing might be a bit less rigid. In reference to claims 8 and 17, although JAENSON does not disclose the use of a flattened cross-sectional shape strand, the applicant has not shown any criticality for a shaped cross-sectional shape strand over a flattened cross-section shape strand. Hence, the selection of either would have been well within the general skill of a worker in the art. Regarding claims 10 and 11, JAENSON does not detail an angle of inclination of the sides of the spacing furrs. However, it would have been obvious tone having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select an angle of inclination suitable for the use intended as an obvious matter of design choice. For instance, a larger angle of inclination allows the lathing to be positioned more evenly and securely against a supporting structure; whereas a smaller sized angle creates a sharper or less flattened area that is placed against the supporting surface. The sharper area is not as stable as the more flattened are created by the larger angle of inclination of the sides of the spacing furrs. In reference to claim 14, JAENSON does not detail how far the spacing furrs extend from

the first plane. However, again, this is an obvious matter of design choice that would depend upon the desired strength of the lathing and how the lathing is intended to be used. Regarding claim 15, JAENSON discloses the basic claimed lathing as detailed above for claim 1, except for the use of fasteners. JAENSON does not detail the use of a fastener; however, the fasteners are not positively cited in the claim. Even so, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the slot formed between the pairs of longitudinal strands (60,62) of JAENSON are capable of receiving the shaft of a fastener while retaining the head of the fastener. Although fasteners are not disclosed by JAENSON, clearly the addition of a fastener would ensure a secure attachment of the lathing to a substructure without the worry of the lathing coming a loose from being secured only by an adhesive. In reference to claim 16, the longitudinal strands (50,56) and (60,62) have a shaped cross-section profile.

Claim 18 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #5,540,023 to JAENSON. JAENSON inherently discloses the method Of fabricating a building wall using welded wire lathe (10) including the steps of arranging a plurality transverse strands (48); arranging a plurality of parallel primary longitudinal strands (50,56); arranging a plurality of secondary strands to form pairs (60,62) having slots therebetween; welded the longitudinal (50,56) and (60,62) strands to the transverse strands (48), column 6, lines 42 and 46-47 to form a mesh; and forming a plurality of spacing furrs (52) be bending the transverse strands (48). JAENSON discloses the basic claimed method except for the use of fasteners.

JAENSON does not detail the use of a fastener; however, the fasteners are not positively cited in the claim. Even so, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the slot formed between the pairs of longitudinal strands (60,62) of JAENSON are capable of receiving the shaft of a fastener while retaining the head of the fastener. Although fasteners are not disclosed by JAENSON, clearly the addition of a fastener would ensure a secure attachment of the lathing to a substructure without the worry of the lathing coming a loose from being secured only by an adhesive. Further, JAENSON also does not disclose that his lathing material can be rolled. He does however, disclose that his material is flexible. Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the mesh-like material of JAENSON, being flexible, is capable of being rolled. Mesh screen made from galvanized steel are very well know for their ability to be rolled and unrolled. Having the ability to be wound into rolls allows the material to be compact and provides for an ease of shipping and storage.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the newly revised ground(s) of rejection.

Regarding the applicant's argument that the lath of JAENSON cannot be rolled, although JAENSON is silent in this regard, clearly, the lath of JAENSON is flexible, column 5, lines 39-40, surely, the lath is capable of being rolled.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,419

Art Unit: 3635

Page 9

In reference to the applicant's argument that the device of JAENSON cannot be rolled due to the strands being disposed in two different planes, whether the strands are in te same or different planes, it remains that the flexibility of the material will still allow for the lath to be rolled if needed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6845. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (571) 272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yvonne M. Horton Art Unit 3635 9/8/05